Rai University AHMEDABAD
RISING - A Journal of Researchers
Bi-Annuals Peer Review Journal
Vol.8 Issue 2 (July 2024)
Impact Factor : 5.999
RISING A journal of researchers
ISSN No : 2456-7752

PREDATORY JOURNALS: A THREAT TO GLOBAL RESEARCH COMMUNITY

Dr. Vipul P. Banker

Associate Professor and Head of the Department,
Department of Library and Information Science, Surendranagar University,
Surendranagar, Gujarat.
dr.vipulbanker10@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Predatory journals pose a serious threat to the integrity and credibility of global research. These journals exploit the open-access model, publishing low-quality or non-peer-reviewed content in exchange for publication fees. Researchers, particularly those from developing regions, often fall prey to such unethical practices. This paper explores the characteristics of predatory journals, their impact on academic integrity, and potential solutions to combat this rising menace.

KEYWORDS: Journals, Predatory Journals, Publication, Research Community, Research Ethics, Research Threat.

INTRODUCTION:

The rapid expansion of open-access publishing has revolutionized academic communication, providing global access to research findings. However, this growth has also led to the emergence of predatory journals that prioritize financial gain over scholarly rigor. These journals often lack proper peer review, mislead authors, and distort scientific literature. The objective of this paper is to analyze the detrimental effects of predatory journals and propose measures to mitigate their influence.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

Banker, Vipul P. (2018). The research paper provides a comprehensive analysis of clone journals, detailing their impact on academic integrity and researcher credibility. It effectively highlights the mechanisms enabling their rise and proposes strategies for identification and mitigation. The study underscores the urgent need for awareness, regulation, and technological interventions. Overall, it offers valuable insights for protecting scholarly publishing from fraudulent practices.

Benkar, Alpana P. (2019). The paper presents a thorough comparative analysis of predatory and clone journals, highlighting their deceptive practices and impact on academic integrity. It effectively distinguishes their characteristics while emphasizing the urgent need for awareness, institutional safeguards, and regulatory measures. The study offers valuable strategies to mitigate their influence and uphold ethical publishing standards. Overall, it provides critical insights for researchers, institutions, and policymakers in combating unethical publishing practices.

Baror Arpita U. (2021). The paper provides an insightful comparative analysis of clone and predatory journals, detailing their deceptive practices and impact on research integrity. It effectively highlights the risks they pose to academia, including misinformation, career setbacks, and funding misallocation. The study emphasizes the need for detection strategies, policy interventions, and researcher education to combat these fraudulent publishing practices. Overall, it offers valuable recommendations for safeguarding the credibility of scientific research.

CHARACTERISTICS OF PREDATORY JOURNALS:

Predatory journals pose a significant threat to academic integrity by compromising the quality and reliability of scholarly publications. These journals exploit the open-access model for

financial gain while disregarding ethical publishing standards. Several key characteristics distinguish predatory journals from legitimate academic publishers:

1. Lack of Rigorous Peer Review: A hallmark of predatory journals is their failure to conduct genuine peer review. While they claim to follow standard review processes, they often accept submissions with little to no scrutiny. In many cases, papers are published with minimal revisions or none at all, leading to the dissemination of unverified, low-quality research. This lack of quality control undermines scientific progress and can contribute to the spread of misinformation.

2. False Impact Factors: To appear credible, predatory journals frequently advertise fabricated impact factors and claim to be indexed in reputable databases such as Web of Science, Scopus, or PubMed. In reality, these journals are often absent from recognized academic indexing services. They may also use misleading metrics from illegitimate agencies that lack transparency, deceiving authors and readers alike.

3. Aggressive Solicitation: Predatory journals employ relentless email campaigns to solicit submissions from researchers. They send mass invitations, often using generic salutations, to entice scholars into submitting their work. Additionally, they may invite researchers to serve on their editorial boards without proper vetting, sometimes listing well-known academics as editors without their knowledge or consent.

4. High Publication Fees: Unlike reputable open-access journals, which charge reasonable article processing charges (APCs) to support high-quality editorial and peer review services, predatory journals impose excessive publication fees without delivering the promised services. Authors may be charged hundreds or even thousands of dollars for publication, yet receive little to no editorial support, proofreading, or ethical oversight.

5. Unrealistic Publication Timelines: One of the most evident red flags of predatory journals is their extremely short turnaround times for manuscript acceptance and publication. While legitimate journals take weeks to months for thorough peer review and revisions, predatory journals often accept articles within days—sometimes within hours—without proper evaluation. This expedited process prioritizes profit over academic rigor.

6. Misleading Editorial Boards: Predatory journals frequently list prominent researchers as editorial board members without their permission. These journals may even fabricate names or use images of well-known academics to appear credible. In many instances, the supposed

editors have no involvement in the journal's operations and may be unaware of their inclusion. This deceptive practice further erodes the journal's legitimacy and misleads potential authors.

Predatory journals threaten the credibility of academic publishing by prioritizing financial gain over scholarly integrity. Researchers should remain vigilant and conduct due diligence before submitting their work to any journal. Utilizing resources such as the Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), Cabell's Blacklist, and Beall's List can help scholars identify and avoid predatory publishers. Institutions and funding agencies must also play a proactive role in educating researchers about the dangers of predatory journals to uphold the integrity of scientific literature.

IMPACT ON GLOBAL RESEARCH COMMUNITY:

1. Compromised Research Integrity:

Predatory journals operate without rigorous peer review or editorial oversight, allowing the publication of substandard, plagiarized, or even fabricated research. This undermines the credibility of academic literature, making it difficult to distinguish between legitimate and unreliable studies. Over time, the presence of low-quality research in databases and citations can distort scientific discourse, reducing the reliability of scholarly work across disciplines.

2. Financial Exploitation of Researchers:

Many predatory journals charge high publication fees while providing little to no editorial or peer-review services. Researchers, particularly those from low-income and developing countries, often fall prey to these journals due to limited access to reputable publishing avenues. These authors may exhaust their research funding on deceptive publication fees without gaining the expected academic recognition, hindering their professional growth.

3. Career and Reputation Damage:

Publishing in predatory journals can have long-term negative consequences for researchers. Academic institutions, funding agencies, and hiring committees increasingly scrutinize the quality of publications rather than just the number of papers. If a researcher is found to have published in questionable journals, it may cast doubt on their credibility, limit their chances of securing research grants, and diminish career advancement opportunities. Additionally, early-career researchers who unknowingly publish in predatory journals may face difficulty in establishing themselves in their respective fields.

4. Misinformation and Public Health Risks:

The consequences of predatory publishing are particularly severe in fields such as medicine, pharmacology, and public health. Poorly reviewed or fraudulent studies can contribute to misinformation, leading to misguided medical practices and policies. For instance, misleading claims about drug efficacy, disease treatments, or vaccine safety can have real-world consequences, endangering public health and eroding trust in scientific recommendations. During global health crises, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, the rapid spread of unverified research in predatory journals exacerbated confusion and misinformation.

5. Diversion of Research Funding:

The global research ecosystem suffers when funds intended for legitimate research get diverted to predatory publishers. Universities, government agencies, and funding bodies allocate resources to support research and its dissemination, but when scholars unknowingly or desperately publish in deceptive journals, these funds are effectively wasted. Additionally, institutions may bear indirect costs, such as the need for faculty training and awareness campaigns to prevent researchers from falling victim to predatory publishing practices.

The rise of predatory journals poses a significant challenge to the integrity and progress of global research. Addressing this issue requires collective efforts from academic institutions, funding agencies, policymakers, and researchers to promote awareness, establish stronger publication standards, and develop mechanisms to identify and prevent deceptive publishing practices. By safeguarding the quality and credibility of academic publishing, the global research community can maintain trust and ensure the advancement of genuine, impactful scientific knowledge.

IDENTIFYING AND AVOIDING PREDATORY JOURNALS:

Academic publishing plays a crucial role in disseminating research findings and advancing knowledge. However, the rise of predatory journals poses a significant threat to academic integrity. Predatory journals exploit researchers by charging publication fees without providing legitimate peer review, indexing, or editorial oversight. To protect their work and ensure credibility, researchers must take several precautions when selecting a journal for publication.

Key Strategies to Identify and Avoid Predatory Journals

1. Verify the Journal's Indexing in Reputable Databases

A legitimate journal should be indexed in well-established academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, PubMed, or IEEE Xplore. These databases have strict inclusion criteria, ensuring that only high-quality, peer-reviewed journals are listed. Researchers should check whether the journal claims to be indexed in these databases and verify its presence through official indexing websites rather than relying solely on the journal's own website.

2. Check the Publisher's Reputation

The credibility of a journal is closely tied to its publisher. Researchers should refer to trusted sources such as the Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), and Open Access Scholarly Publishers Association (OASPA) to verify the legitimacy of the publisher. If the publisher has a history of questionable practices, it is best to avoid submitting research to their journals.

3. Assess the Peer Review Process and Publication Timeline

A hallmark of a reputable journal is a rigorous peer review process that ensures academic integrity and quality control. Researchers should be wary of journals that promise rapid publication within days or weeks without a clear peer review mechanism. A genuine peer review process typically takes several weeks to months and involves feedback from subject-matter experts. Journals that publish papers with minimal or no revisions may be engaging in predatory practices.

4. Examine the Editorial Board for Authenticity

Legitimate academic journals have an editorial board composed of recognized experts in the field. Researchers should verify the credentials of the editorial board members by checking their institutional affiliations, Google Scholar profiles, and ORCID records. If the editorial board members are unknown, have unverifiable credentials, or are listed without their knowledge, it raises red flags about the journal's legitimacy.

5. Consult Beall's List and Other Watchdog Sites

Beall's List is a well-known resource that compiles potentially predatory journals and publishers. While the original list is no longer actively maintained, various updated versions exist. Researchers can also refer to watchdog sites such as Retraction Watch, Think. Check. Submit., and Cabell's Predatory Reports for warnings about questionable journals. Cross-checking a journal against these resources helps in making informed publication decisions.

MEASURES TO COMBAT PREDATORY PUBLISHING:

Institutional Awareness and Training: Universities and research institutions should take an active role in educating researchers, faculty, and students about the risks associated with predatory publishing. This can be achieved through regular workshops, seminars, and awareness campaigns that highlight red flags and best practices for identifying credible journals. Establishing mentorship programs where experienced scholars guide early-career researchers can further help mitigate the risks.

Stricter Journal Accreditation Policies: Regulatory bodies, such as national academic councils and international scholarly organizations, must enforce strict accreditation policies for journals. Clear, standardized criteria should be established for evaluating journal legitimacy, including editorial board transparency, impact factors, and indexing in recognized databases like Scopus and Web of Science. Additionally, penalties should be imposed on publishers found engaging in deceptive practices, and blacklists of predatory journals should be maintained and regularly updated.

Strengthening Peer Review Mechanisms: A robust peer review process is essential to maintaining the integrity of scholarly publishing. Journals should adopt stringent peer review policies, ensuring that submitted research undergoes thorough scrutiny by qualified experts in the field. Encouraging the use of open peer review and preprint repositories can enhance transparency and accountability. Additionally, academic institutions and professional organizations should promote ethical reviewing practices and provide training for peer reviewers to identify poor-quality submissions.

Encouraging Ethical Publishing Practices: Funding agencies, academic institutions, and professional bodies should require researchers to publish in reputable, indexed journals to qualify for career advancement, promotions, and research grants. By integrating journal verification into funding application processes, institutions can discourage researchers from publishing in predatory journals. Universities should also maintain institutional repositories of recommended and verified journals to guide researchers toward ethical publishing avenues.

Use of Technology and AI Detection Tools: Technological advancements, including artificial intelligence and machine learning, can play a crucial role in detecting predatory journals. AI-powered tools can analyze journal attributes such as editorial transparency, citation patterns, and peer review processes to identify fraudulent or low-quality publishers. Researchers should

be encouraged to use databases like DOAJ (Directory of Open Access Journals) and tools like Cabell's Blacklist to verify journal credibility before submitting manuscripts. Additionally, institutions can integrate AI-driven systems into their library services to provide real-time warnings about questionable publishers.

By implementing these measures collectively, the academic community can effectively combat predatory publishing and uphold the integrity of scholarly research.

MAJOR FINDINGS:

1. Predatory journals exploit the open-access model by publishing low-quality, non-peer-reviewed content, compromising academic credibility.

2. Researchers, especially from developing regions, face financial exploitation and potential reputational damage due to misleading publication practices.

3. Poor-quality research in fields like medicine can mislead policymakers, posing risks to public health and scientific progress.

4. Researchers must verify journal credibility through indexing databases, editorial board authenticity, and watchdog lists like Beall's List.

5. Institutions, funding agencies, and regulatory bodies must implement stricter accreditation policies, promote ethical publishing, and use AI tools for detection.

CONCLUSION:

Predatory journals pose a formidable challenge to global research integrity. By compromising the peer review process, misleading scholars, and distorting scientific knowledge, these journals threaten the credibility of academia. Tackling this menace requires collective efforts from researchers, institutions, funding agencies, and regulatory authorities. Implementing stringent policies, raising awareness, and leveraging technology are crucial steps toward preserving the authenticity of scientific publishing.

REFERENCES:

1. Banker, Vipul P. (2018). An anxiety on clone journals. Rising: A Journal of Researchers, 2(1), 11-17.
2. Beshyah, S., Hajjaji, I., & Elbarsha, A. (2018). Awareness of predatory journals among physicians from Africa and the Middle East: An exploratory survey. Ibnosina Journal of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, 10(04), 136-140.
3. Cobey, K. D., Lalu, M. M., Skidmore, B., Ahmadzai, N., Grudniewicz, A., & Moher, D. (2018). What is a predatory journal? A scoping review. F1000Research, 7.
4. Benkar, Alpana P. (2019). Predatory journals vs. clone journals: a comparative study. Rising: A Journal of Researchers, 3(1), 1-9.
5. AlAhmad, Y. M., Abdelhafez, I., Cyprian, F. S., Akhtar, S., Skenderi, F., & Vranic, S. (2020). Critical appraisal of predatory journals in pathology. Journal of clinical pathology, 73(1), 58-60.
6. Baror Arpita U. (2021). Predatory journals vs clone journals: a comparative analysis of their characteristics, impact and detection strategies. Rising: A Journal of Researchers, 3(1), 1-9.
7. Kumbharjuvenkar, R., & Mandrekar, B. (2021). Predatory Journals: Threat for Academicians. Library Philosophy and Practice (e-Journal).
8. Taylor, G. A. (2021). Predatory journals: a different pandemic. Pediatric Radiology, 51(4), 516-518.
9. Yamada, Y. (2021). How to protect the credibility of articles published in predatory journals. Publications, 9(1), 4.
10. Ferrari, C. K. B. (2022). Perodicos clones e fantasmas: estudos de casos e revisao critica sobre as revistas predatorias!.. Boletim de Conjuntura (BOCA), 11(33), 01-15.